

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully studied the Examiner's comments in the Office Action dated February 27, 2008.

Claims 1-2 and 8-19 have been amended.

Claim 20 has been cancelled

No new matter has been added.

Claims 1-4 and 6-19 remain in the application for consideration by the Examiner.

The Examiner objected to Claims 1-4 and 6-19 due to informalities. However, the Examiner stated that Claims 1-4 and 6-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in the Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-2, 8- 9, 13, and 17-18 were amended to comply with the Examiner's suggestions. Additionally, Claims 10-12, 14-16, and 19 were amended to place the claims in proper dependent form.

The Examiner rejected Claim 20 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,820,057 to Decarolis et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,551,847 to Caldwell.

Claim 20 has been cancelled, thereby rendering the rejection of Claim 20 moot.

It is submitted that Claims 1-4 and 6-19 are now in condition for allowance.

A formal Notice of Allowance respectfully solicited.